

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/678,580	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JAPUNTICH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Annette F. Dixon	<b>Art Unit</b> 3771	

**All Participants:**

(1) Annette F. Dixon, PTO personnel.

(2) Karl Hansen, Applicant's Representative.

**Date of Interview:** 9 September 2008

**Status of Application:** RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** N/A

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)*

Claims discussed:

*66 and 68*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Simpson et al. in view of Soderberg and Shindel*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Please see examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Annette F Dixon/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3771

/Justine Yu/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)